





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/446,835	1	2/29/1999	GREGORY FENDIS	P06608US0/DE	2965	
881	7590	10/22/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LARSON &		•	WHITE, CA	WHITE, CARMEN D		
SUITE 900	11 1 7 111(1 7 1	IX BIRLLI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDE	RIA, VA	22314	3714			
				D. TE. 14. 11 ED. 10 10 10 10 10	_	

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	09/446,835	FENDIS, GREGORY					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carmen D. White	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 J</u>	ulv 2003 .	•					
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/446,835

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### RCE

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 24, 2003 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 13-22, 29-38 and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Colley* or *Born* et al in view of *Lobb* et al (5,810,680).

Regarding claims 1-5, 13-22, 29-38 and 41-43, Colley or Born teach the limitations of the claims as discussed in the previous office actions (papers #12 and #15), incorporated herein by reference. Colley or Born are silent regarding the newly added claim features of the data input means being provided with respective location data indicative of a respective location thereof in terms of said phases so that said respective location data is available electronically to said respective data input means during the phase of said sport or game with which said respective data input means is

Application/Control Number: 09/446,835

Art Unit: 3714

associated without being entered during said sport or game and said system being configured to transmit progress data and respective location data of the data input means. Lobb teaches an input unit that has a GPS tracked input unit that has these features (abstract; Fig. 1; Fig. 2 and Fig. 2A and Fig. 5A). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include these features, as taught by Lobb, in the input means of Colley or Born to make the system more convenient for the user, whereby game play does not have to be interrupted to enter pertinent data. This would increase speed of play and make gaming more enjoyable.

Claims 6-12, 23-28 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Colley* or *Born* et al in view of *Lobb* et al (5,810,680), further in view of *Lyon*.

Regarding claims 6-12, 23-28 and 39-40, Colley or Born in view of Lobb teaches all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. The references lack the explicit disclosure of the data card and reader. However, as discussed in the previous office actions (papers #12 and #15), incorporated herein by reference, Lyon teaches this feature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the similar golf score keeping teaching of Lyon with the golf devices of Colley, Born and Lobb to make it easier for the users to store and keep track of their scores.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,835

Art Unit: 3714

# Examiner's Response to Applicant's Remarks

Applicant argues that Colley, Born and Lyon do not teach the newly added claim limitations. The examiner has cited Lobb to teach these features (see above).

Therefore, Applicant's arguments are now moot in light of the new claim rejections.

### **USPTO Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carmen D. White whose telephone number is 703-308-5275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

cdw

S. THOMAS HUGHES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700